



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,693	01/02/2004	Dan M. Manole	TEC1223-01	4112
832	7590	08/01/2005	EXAMINER	
BAKER & DANIELS LLP 111 E. WAYNE STREET SUITE 800 FORT WAYNE, IN 46802			JIANG, CHEN WEN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3744	

DATE MAILED: 08/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/750,693

Applicant(s)

MANOLE, DAN M.

Examiner

Chen-Wen Jiang

Art Unit

3744

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 January 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 7-9 and 17-23 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 6, 10, 13-16, 24 and 28-34 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 4, 5, 11, 12 and 25-27 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 02 January 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20040102
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 24,28,31 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Beebe et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,148,635).

Beebe et al. disclose a compact active vapor compression cycle heat transfer device.

Referring to Fig.2, the device comprises a compressor 14, a condenser 10, an expansion device 24 and an evaporator 17. The evaporator 17 and condenser 10 are heat exchangers formed from a plurality of microchannels 52. Heating is also available from the device of the device, since one side of the device will expel heat into an adjacent atmosphere, fluid or object while another side of the device will absorb heat from an adjacent atmosphere, fluid or object.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Art Unit: 3744

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

4. Claims 32 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beebe et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,148,635) in view of Cannell et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,729,383).

Beebe et al. disclose the invention substantially as claimed. However, Beebe et al. do not disclose a support structure. Cannell et al. discloses a frame for the heat exchanger surfaces and a coupling for mounting the body to the frame in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of supporting. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the apparatus of Beebe et al. with a frame for the heat exchanger surfaces and a coupling for mounting the body to the frame in view of Cannell et al. so as to support the module and attach to the body.

5. Claims 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beebe et al. in view of Monfarad (U.S. Patent Number 6,687,122).

Beebe et al. disclose the invention substantially as claimed. Beebe discloses a flexible planar compressor. However, Beebe et al. do not disclose motor driven or hermetically sealed compressor. Monfarad discloses a hermetically sealed compressor and motor driven compressor in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of compress refrigerant. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the apparatus of Beebe et al. with a hermetically sealed compressor or motor driven compressor in view of Monfarad so as to compress refrigerant.

6. Claims 1,2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beebe et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,148,635) in view of Vukovic et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,393,853) or Cannell et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,729,383).

In regard to claims 1 and 3, Beebe et al. disclose a compact active vapor compression cycle heat transfer device. Referring to Fig.2, the device comprises a compressor 14, a condenser 10, an expansion device 24 and an evaporator 17. The evaporator 17 and condenser 10 are heat exchangers formed from a plurality of microchannels 52. Heating is also available from the device of the device, since one side of the device will expel heat into an adjacent atmosphere, fluid or object while another side of the device will absorb heat from an adjacent atmosphere, fluid or object. However, Beebe et al. do not disclose attachment of the module to the body. Vukovic et al. and Cannell et al. disclose attachment feature between the module and the body in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of mounting. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the apparatus of Beebe et al. with attachment feature in view of Vukovic et al. and Cannell et al. so as to mount the module and contact the surfaces.

In regard to claim 2, upon a close review of applicant's specification, it appears that the claimed attachment do not have any criticality and/or lead to any new and unexpected results. Applicant does not specify the deficiencies of other attachments used in the prior art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have selected the claimed attachment feature since these particular attachment that are no better or provided improved performance over that which is commonplace in the prior art

Art Unit: 3744

7. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beebe et al. and Vukovic et al. or Cannell et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Monfarad (U.S. Patent Number 6,687,122).

Beebe et al. and Vukovic et al. or Cannell et al. disclose the invention substantially as claimed. Beebe discloses a flexible planar compressor. However, Beebe et al. and Vukovic et al. or Cannell et al. do not disclose hermetically sealed compressor. Monfarad discloses a hermetically sealed compressor in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of compress refrigerant. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the apparatus of Beebe et al. and Vukovic et al. or Cannell et al. with a hermetically sealed compressor in view of Monfarad so as to compress refrigerant.

8. Claims 10 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beebe et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,148,635) in view of Cannell et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,729,383) and Monfarad (U.S. Patent Number 6,687,122).

In regard to claims 10, Beebe et al. disclose a compact active vapor compression cycle heat transfer device. Referring to Fig.2, the device comprises a compressor 14, a condenser 10, an expansion device 24 and an evaporator 17. The evaporator 17 and condenser 10 are heat exchangers formed from a plurality of microchannels 52. Heating is also available from the device of the device, since one side of the device will expel heat into an adjacent atmosphere, fluid or object while another side of the device will absorb heat from an adjacent atmosphere, fluid or object. Monfarad discloses a hermetically sealed compressor in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of compress refrigerant. Cannell et al. disclose frame attachment

Art Unit: 3744

feature between the module and the body in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of mounting. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the apparatus of Beebe et al. with attachment feature in view of Vukovic et al. and a hermetically sealed compressor in view of Monfarad.

In regard to claim 13, Monfarad discloses an optional receiver in the refrigeration system.

In regard to claims 14-16, Examiner takes official notice that the cold plate with fluid inlet and outlet and convective heat transfer surface with fin and matching areas are well known in the prior art.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 7-9 and 17-23 are allowed.

10. Claims 4,5,11,12 and 25-27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chen-Wen Jiang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4809.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chen-Wen Jiang
Primary Examiner

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'C-W Jiang', written in a cursive style.